

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
10

11 Peter Strojnik, (Sr.),
12 Plaintiff,

Case No. CV-19-02704-PHX-DJH

13 vs.

ORDER

14 State Bar of Arizona,
15 Defendant.
16

17
18 This Court having considered Defendant State Bar of Arizona's Request for Judicial
19 Notice in Support of its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, and upon
20 finding that the requested facts are not subject to reasonable dispute, may be judicially
21 noticed under Federal Rule of Evidence 201(b) because they can be accurately and readily
22 determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned, and this Court
23 has received sufficient information,

24 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that this Court takes judicial notice of the following
25 matters forming the basis of Plaintiff's complaint for the limited fact that these documents
26 and their content exist but not for the truthfulness of the matters stated therein:

- 27 1. Order of Interim Suspension of Peter Strojnik in PDJ 2018-9018;
28 2. Complaint against Peter Strojnik in PDJ 2018-9105;

3. Peter Strojnik's Motion to Recognize Strojnik's Expressive Dissociation from the Arizona State Bar in PDJ 2018-9105;
4. The State Bar of Arizona's Motion to Strike in PDJ 2018-9105;
5. Strojnik's Response to the SBA's Motion to Strike in PDJ 2018-9105;
6. Order Re: Motion to Recognize Expressive Dissociation in PDJ 2018-9105;
7. Strojnik's Consent to Disbarment in PDJ 2018-9105; and
8. Judgment of Disbarment in PDJ 2018-9105.